

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/767,754	01/28/2004	Michael S. Hawkins	D/A2578	5569	
7590 09/28/2005		EXAMINER			
Patent Documentation Center			CHAPMAN, MARK A		
Xerox Corporat	ion		·		
Xerox Square 20th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
100 Clinton Ave. S.			1756		
Rochester, NY 14644			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(
Office Action Summary		10/767,754	HAWKINS ET AL	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Mark A. Chapman	1756	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tee to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•			
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 At</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		e merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)☐ 6)⊠ 7)☐ 8)☐ Applicati 9)☐ 10)☐	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 Cl	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 01282004;08082005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)

M

Application/Control Number: 10/767,754

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b or e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over each of Daimon (6,413,691), Gutman (2003/0017406), or Hamano (2003/0104296). Daimon (col. 5-7 and col. 9 lines 24-30), Gutman (claims 2 and 9), and Hamano (paragraphs 65 and 96) each teach alumina particles used in similar aggregation/coalescence toner process that include sulfonated polyesters. In the alternative, it would have been obvious to use alumina particles with sulfonated polyesters in any similar toner processes because of the direct

suggestion of the references and the expectation of similar results due to the known effect of alumina particles with sulfonated polyesters in similar aggregation/coalescence toner process.

4. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over as applied to claims 1-33 above, and further in view of Hopper (6,416,920). Daimon, Gutman, and Hamano are discussed above. In addition, Hopper teaches alumina coated silica used in a similar manner and process to impart cationic properties (col. 14 lines 29-44). It would have been obvious to use alumina particles with sulfonated polyesters in any similar toner processes because of the direct suggestion of the references and the expectation of similar results due to the known effect of alumina particles with sulfonated polyesters in similar aggregation/coalescence toner process.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Chapman whose telephone number is 571-272-1381. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,754

Art Unit: 1756

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark A. Chapman Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1756

MC